

Entanglement entropy and 2d black holes

P. Valtancoli

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Polo Scientifico Università di Firenze
and INFN, Sezione di Firenze (Italy)
Via G. Sansone 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy*

Abstract

We explore the method of entanglement entropy applied to $2d$ black holes. We introduce a solvable model of a real scalar field with finite volume and lattice spacing in terms of N coupled mechanical oscillators and compute its entanglement entropy in many cases. The large N limit of this scheme, with finite lattice spacing, should reproduce the Bekenstein-Hawking formula for black hole entropy.

1 Introduction

Recently, remarkable progresses have been made in order to understand the microscopic origin of the thermodynamic behavior of black holes [1]-[2] (for a review see [3]). Let us mention the rigorous proof of black hole entropy achieved in superstring theory, using the D-brane technology [4]-[7]. Analogously in loop quantum gravity [8] black hole entropy has been identified with the logarithm of the total number of all different spin network states for a fixed eigenvalue of the area operator [9].

The derivations made in these candidates for quantum gravity strongly depend on the details of these theories. However it is generally believed that the proportionality of the black hole entropy with the horizon area is more universal and not dependent on the details of the theory.

In this direction we can recall 't Hooft [10] identification of black hole entropy with the statistical entropy of a thermal gas of quantum particles in the Hartle-Hawking state [11]-[12] with Dirichlet boundary conditions just outside the horizon (the so called brick wall model). He found, in the WKB approximation, apart from the expected volume dependent thermodynamic quantities describing hot fields in an almost flat space, additional surface contributions proportional to the horizon area. These extra contributions are also proportional to α^{-2} , where α is the proper altitude of the wall above the gravitational radius and they diverge in the $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit. These divergencies have been recognized as quantum corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking formula that can be reabsorbed in the renormalization of the one loop effective gravitational lagrangian. For a specific choice of α , which is generally at the Planck scale, 't Hooft has been able to reproduce the Bekenstein-Hawking formula with the correct coefficient.

The original formulation of the brick wall model has been corrected in [13]-[16]. Recently it has been reconsidered in the special case of $(1 + 1)$ dimensions [17], because it can be solved exactly without any approximation.

A concurrent method has been developed in [18]-[20], i.e. the entanglement method, which we are going to reconsider in this article always in the solvable $(1 + 1)$ dimensional case. This method is a strong candidate for a model independent derivation of the origin of black hole entropy, since it defines a statistical entropy measuring the loss of information induced by a spatial division of a system.

This division distinguishes between the inaccessible quantum degrees of freedom made unphysical by the presence of black hole and the residual physical ones. It is expected that, independently from the details of the theory, entanglement entropy is proportional to the

area of the boundary of the spatial division of the system.

The advantage of $(1 + 1)$ dimensions is that there is a coordinate transformation making the background metric conformally flat, and therefore the quantization of a scalar field in the background of a black hole can be reduced to the free case.

We point out that the natural spatial division which we adopt to compute black hole entropy is not separating the interior of black hole from the external region, but, since the coordinate transformation flattening the metric carries an extra length scale α , the wall proper altitude, is distinguishing between the interior of the brick wall and the external region to the wall.

The quantization of a free scalar field is solved by introducing the proper modes, which are definitely non local with respect to the spatial division of the scalar field. Therefore the vacuum wave function carries correlations between the inaccessible degrees of freedom inside the brick wall and the residual physical ones. Such a correlation is the mechanism responsible for the production of a non trivial entropy, which is similar to the brick wall entropy calculated by 't Hooft.

To simplify the calculation it is necessary quantizing the scalar field reduced to a chain of N coupled oscillators with periodic boundary conditions (finite volume and finite lattice spacing), i.e. a system with a finite number N of degrees of freedom. We are then able to carry out the entropy calculation in many cases of entanglement applying the general method of ref. [18]. In all these cases the entropy is finite and dependent only on the frequencies of the normal modes.

However only in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit and finite lattice spacing it is possible to determine a surface entropy contribution (proportional to the area of the horizon and diverging as a^{-2} , with a the lattice spacing, in four dimensions). In this limit we have to solve exactly the spectrum of an integral operator, but we haven't found any better insight with respect to the qualitative features depicted in [18]-[19], so we leave its solution to a future study.

2 The model

The brick wall model [10] has shown that black hole entropy can be obtained in an heuristic way from the quantization of a scalar field on curved background extended to a finite temperature state, in which certain quantum degrees of freedom are lost because of the presence of black hole. The identification of these degrees of freedom can be realized with the entanglement method that we are going to apply to the simplest case of $(1 + 1)$ dimensions.

In this article we limit ourself to consider a scalar field immersed in a background metric $\{M, g\}$ in $1 + 1$ dimensions. The action

$$S = \int d^{1+1}x \sqrt{|g|} \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right) \quad (2.1)$$

implies the Klein-Gordon equation on a curved space

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_\mu (g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \partial_\nu \phi) + m^2 \phi = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

From the action S (2.1) we can derive the corresponding energy-momentum tensor

$$\theta_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}(x)} = -\phi \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (g^{\rho\sigma} \phi \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma \phi + m^2 \phi^2) \quad (2.3)$$

We restrict the choice of the background metric to the case of a classical $(1 + 1)$ dimensional black hole

$$ds^2 = f(r) dt^2 - \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 \quad (2.4)$$

$f(r)$ is an arbitrary function constrained to the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(r) &= 1 \\ f(r) &\text{ has a simple zero at } r = r_0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where r_0 is the horizon with positive surface gravity

$$k_0 = \frac{1}{2} f'(r_0) > 0 \quad (2.6)$$

The classical equation of motion for the scalar field is

$$\frac{1}{f(r)} \partial_t^2 \phi - \partial_r [f(r) \partial_r \phi] + m^2 \phi^2 = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

In the coordinates (t, y) with "tortoise" coordinate y defined by

$$y = y(r) \quad \frac{dy}{dr} = \frac{1}{f(r)} \quad (2.8)$$

the black hole metric becomes conformally flat. Notice that $f(r_0) = 0$ and $f'(r_0) = 2k_0 > 0$ implies that for $r \rightarrow r_0$ at the leading order

$$y(r) \sim \frac{1}{2k_0} \ln 2k_0(r - r_0) \quad f(r) \sim e^{2k_0 y(r)} \approx 2k_0(r - r_0) \quad (2.9)$$

and therefore, containing a logarithm, it introduces an auxiliary scale of length $1/2k_0$. Moreover the coordinate transformation is singular near the horizon, hence in the y coordinates it doesn't make sense introducing a spatial division of the system coinciding with black hole horizon.

Let $r_1 > r_0$ be the radius of our alternative spatial division of the system, analogous to 't Hooft brick wall, and let us calculate the proper altitude of the wall α over the horizon depending on $\delta r = r_1 - r_0$:

$$\alpha = \int_{r_0}^{r_1} f^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr \quad \delta r = r_1 - r_0 = \frac{1}{2} k_0 \alpha^2 \quad (2.10)$$

In the coordinates (t, y) the Klein-Gordon equation (2.7) reduces to its flat form in the massless limit ($m = 0$)

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_y^2)\phi = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

and we have the problem of quantizing a free scalar field.

This length scale α is also present in the brick wall model, where it can be proved to be of the Planck scale order and it allows to distinguish the regions

$$\begin{aligned} y < y(r_1) & \quad r_0 < r < r_1 \\ y > y(r_1) & \quad r > r_1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

It is aim of our article to hypothesize that the degrees of freedom freezed by the presence of black hole are situated in the interior of the brick wall for $y < y(r_1)$, i.e. they are superficial, while the residual physical ones are always external to the brick wall for $y > y(r_1)$. In this sense we distinguish ourself from the literature that usually identifies them in the interior of black holes.

We notice that in the y coordinates in two dimensions this spatial division between frozen and physical degrees of freedom seems the only possible choice. In greater dimensions or in the massive case it is not possible to avoid the presence of a background field and the analysis appears to be more intricate.

3 Scalar field as a finite chain

Before quantizing the scalar field we must restrict ourself for simplicity to a model of it in which both the volume and the lattice spacing are finite. It is well known that the scalar field in $(1+1)$ dimensions, defined on a lattice and with a finite volume, reduces to a system of N coupled mechanical oscillators.

Consider the hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A=1}^N [\dot{u}_A^2 + \frac{v^2}{a^2} (u_A - u_{A+1})^2 + \omega_0^2 u_A^2] \quad (3.1)$$

where the single oscillator variables $u_A, A = 1, \dots, N$ are real and we impose periodic boundary conditions ($N+1 \equiv 1$).

Such an hamiltonian can be diagonalized introducing the so-called normal coordinates through a discrete Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} u_A &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{e^{i\tilde{k}(k)a_A}}{\sqrt{N}} q_k & \tilde{k} &= \frac{2\pi}{Na} k & a_A &= a \cdot A \\ \dot{u}_A &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{e^{-i\tilde{k}(k)a_A}}{\sqrt{N}} p_k \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

or inversely

$$\begin{aligned} q_k &= \sum_{A=1}^N \frac{e^{-i\tilde{k}(k)a_A}}{\sqrt{N}} u_A \\ p_k &= \sum_{A=1}^N \frac{e^{i\tilde{k}(k)a_A}}{\sqrt{N}} \dot{u}_A \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The inversion is obtained by recalling the discrete completeness relation

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{in(\tilde{k}-\tilde{k}')a}}{N} = \delta_{\tilde{k},\tilde{k}'} \quad (3.4)$$

The reality condition for $u_A, A = 1, \dots, N$ is assured by the following necessary condition

$$\begin{aligned} q_k^* &= q_{-k} \\ p_k^* &= p_{-k} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By introducing the parameterization (q_k, p_k) the Hamiltonian (3.1) can be recast in the following diagonal form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (|p_k|^2 + \omega_k^2 |q_k|^2) \tag{3.6}$$

where ω_k is given by the dispersion relation

$$\omega_k^2 = \omega_0^2 + 4 \frac{v^2}{a^2} \sin^2 \frac{\tilde{k}(k)a}{2} \tag{3.7}$$

The information about the original coupling between the oscillators is now contained in the dispersion relation. The massless limit is reached by putting $\omega_0 = 0$.

Such a diagonal form of the Hamiltonian is ready for the quantization procedure of the coupled system of N oscillators.

The canonical quantization rules for the variables u_A

$$\begin{aligned} [u_A, \dot{u}_B] &= i\hbar \delta_{AB} \\ [u_A, u_B] &= [\dot{u}_A, \dot{u}_B] = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

can be extended to the normal coordinates q_k , since they are obtained with their conjugate momenta p_k from $u_A, \dot{u}_A$ through an unitary transformation (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} [q_k, p_{k'}] &= i\hbar \delta_{kk'} \\ [q_k, q_{k'}] &= [p_k, p_{k'}] = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now u_A and $\dot{u}_A$ are promoted to hermitian operators, $u_A^\dagger = u_A$, and the corresponding normal coordinates have the following hermicity properties

$$\begin{aligned} q_k^\dagger &= q_{-k} \\ p_k^\dagger &= p_{-k} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian operator, written in terms of the normal coordinates, takes the following form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (p_k p_k^\dagger + \omega_k^2 q_k q_k^\dagger) \quad (3.11)$$

The quantization procedure is simplified if we introduce the creation and destruction operators $a_k^\dagger$ and a_k :

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega_k}} (\omega_k q_k + i p_k^\dagger) \\ a_k^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega_k}} (\omega_k q_k^\dagger - i p_k) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

or inversely

$$\begin{aligned} q_k &= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} (a_k + a_{-k}^\dagger) \\ p_k &= i \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} (a_{-k} - a_k^\dagger) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

From the definition of $a_k, a_k^\dagger$ we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} [a_k, a_{k'}^\dagger] &= \delta_{kk'} \\ [a_k, a_{k'}] &= [a_k^\dagger, a_{k'}^\dagger] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Since $a_{-k} \neq a_k^\dagger$ we can state that there are $2N$ independent operators $a_k, a_k^\dagger$.

We can now express H in terms of the operators $a_k, a_k^\dagger$ obtaining

$$H = \sum_k \hbar\omega_k (a_k^\dagger a_k + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (3.15)$$

The state of lowest energy $|0\rangle$ is determined by the condition

$$a_k |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall k \quad (3.16)$$

In the Schrödinger representation in which the q_k are basic variables, this definition can be rewritten as a differential equation for the variables q_k , solved by

$$f(q_k) = f_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \omega_k q_k q_k^*} \quad (3.17)$$

Such an eigenstate has eigenvalue $E_0 = \sum_k \frac{\hbar \omega_k}{2}$. The generic eigenvalues of H are given by

$$E = E_0 + \sum_k \hbar \omega_k n_k \quad (3.18)$$

Introducing the normal modes we have passed from a description in terms of single oscillators u_A to a description of collective coordinates q_k .

4 Entanglement entropy

The quantization procedure is naturally related to the collective coordinates, while the spatial division of the system induced by the presence of the black hole is better described in terms of the single oscillators u_A . Hence when we integrate on the single oscillator degrees of freedom contained inside the wall for $y < y(r_1)$, clarifying where is the loss of degrees of freedom induced by the black hole, there is a non trivial coupling given by the vacuum wave function between frozen and physical degrees of freedom. This correlation is the ultimate reason for the presence of a non trivial entropy.

The vacuum wave function

$$\psi_0(u_A) = f_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \omega_k q_k q_k^*} \quad (4.1)$$

rewritten in the single oscillator coordinates u_A (3.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} q_k &= \sum_{A=1}^N \frac{e^{-i\tilde{k}(k)a_A}}{\sqrt{N}} u_A \\ \psi_0(u_A) &= f_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2} M_{AB} u^A u^B} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$M_{AB} = \frac{1}{2N} \omega_k e^{i\tilde{k}(k)(a_B - a_A)} + (A \leftrightarrow B) \quad (4.3)$$

Imposing the normalization condition of the wave function we get

$$\psi_0(u_A) = \left[\det \frac{M}{\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} M_{AB} u^A u^B} \quad (4.4)$$

M_{AB} is a positive definite quadratic form; this property will be crucial in the following.

The density matrix for the vacuum is given by

$$\rho = |\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0| \quad (4.5)$$

and in the Schrödinger representation it becomes

$$\rho\{u^A, u'^B\} = \left[\det \frac{M}{\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} M_{AB} (u^A u^B + u'^A u'^B)} \quad (4.6)$$

Now we take into account the presence of the black hole. We have said that, according to the hypothesis of section 2, in the region contained between the horizon and the brick wall, the quantum information is inaccessible. We can therefore obtain a reduced density matrix ρ_{red} for the degrees of freedom outside the brick wall, integrating the single oscillator coordinates u_A that are situated in the region $y < y(r_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{red}(u^a, u'^b) &= \int \prod_{\alpha} du^{\alpha} \rho(u^a, u^{\alpha}, u'^b, u^{\alpha}) \\ &= \left[\det \frac{M}{\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} M_{ab} (u^a u^b + u'^a u'^b)} \int \prod_{\alpha} du^{\alpha} e^{[-M_{\beta\gamma} u^{\beta} u^{\gamma} - M_{\alpha a} (u^a + u'^a) u^{\alpha}]} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where the matrix M_{AB} is decomposed as

$$M_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{ab} & M_{a\alpha} \\ M_{\alpha b} & M_{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

and the inverse matrix M^{AB} ($M^{AB} M_{BC} = \delta_C^A$)

$$M^{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} M^{ab} & M^{a\alpha} \\ M^{\alpha b} & M^{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.9)$$

If we introduce as in ref. [18] the following extra notations, i.e. $\widetilde{M}^{ab}$ as inverse of M_{ab} , $\widetilde{M}_{ab}$ as inverse of M^{ab} , $\widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta}$ as inverse of $M_{\alpha\beta}$ e $\widetilde{M}_{\alpha\beta}$ as inverse of $M^{\alpha\beta}$, performing the quadratic integration we end up with the following formula

$$\rho_{red}(u^a, u'^b) = \left[\det \left(\frac{\widetilde{M}_{ab}}{\pi} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} M_{ab}(u^a u^b + u'^a u'^b)} e^{\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha a} M_{\beta b} (u+u')^a (u+u')^b} \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\det M_{AB} = \det \widetilde{M}_{ab} \det M_{\alpha\beta} \quad (4.11)$$

The integration is non trivial only because of the coupling given by $M_{\alpha a}$ between the degrees of freedom inside the region $y < y(r_1)$ and those external to it ($y > y(r_1)$).

Using the identity

$$\widetilde{M}_{ab} = M_{ab} - M_{a\alpha} \widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} M_{\beta b} \quad (4.12)$$

and defining

$$K_{ab} = \widetilde{M}_{ab} \quad H_{ab} = M_{a\alpha} \widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} M_{\beta b} \quad (4.13)$$

the resulting density matrix is

$$\rho_{red}\{u^a, u'^b\} = \left[\det \left(\frac{K_{ab}}{\pi} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} K_{ab}(u^a u^b + u'^a u'^b)} e^{-\frac{1}{4} H_{ab}(u-u')^a (u-u')^b} \quad (4.14)$$

In the special case of two degrees of freedom, of which one inside and the other outside the wall, the density matrix (4.14) becomes

$$\rho_{red}(u, u') = \left[\frac{K}{\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} K(x^2 + x'^2) - \frac{1}{4} H(x-x')^2} \quad (4.15)$$

The entropy of this mixed state depends only on the ratio

$$\lambda = \frac{H}{K} \quad (4.16)$$

and on the accessory variable

$$\mu = 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda} - 2 \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.17)$$

through the formula

$$S = - \frac{\mu \ln \mu + (1 - \mu) \ln(1 - \mu)}{1 - \mu} \quad (4.18)$$

In the general case it is possible to extrapolate the general formula for the entropy by bringing the density matrix (4.14) to the following diagonal form

$$\rho_{red}(u^a, u'^b) = \prod_a \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{[-\frac{1}{2}(u^a u^a + u'^a u'^a) - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_a (u - u')^a (u - u')^a]} \quad (4.19)$$

where the λ_a are the eigenvalues of H_{ab} , in the basis where K_{ab} is the identity matrix, and in general they are recognizable as the eigenvalues of the composition of matrices

$$\Lambda_b^a = (K^{-1})^{ac} (H)_{cb} \quad (4.20)$$

This is possible since at least one of K_{ab} and H_{ab} is associated to a positive definite quadratic form, with positive eigenvalues. Hence with a series of transformations K_{ab} can be diagonalized simultaneously with the matrix H_{ab} .

Finally, the entropy associated to a system of many degrees of freedom is given by

$$S = - \sum_a \frac{\mu_a \ln \mu_a + (1 - \mu_a) \ln(1 - \mu_a)}{1 - \mu_a} \quad (4.21)$$

where μ_a is the positive solution of

$$\lambda_a = \frac{4\mu_a}{(1 - \mu_a)^2} \quad (4.22)$$

and λ_a are the eigenvalues of the matrix Λ_b^a .

5 Entropy computation

The natural application of the general theory outlined in the last section is the model of the scalar field reduced to N degrees of freedom. We will be able to compute directly without

examining the reduced density matrix the entropy of such a system in terms of the frequencies ω_k characterizing the normal modes of the field.

In the simplest $N = 2$ case with a degree of freedom inside the brick wall and the other outside, we obtain from the general parameterization (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} M_{AB} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 + \omega_2 & -\omega_1 + \omega_2 \\ -\omega_1 + \omega_2 & \omega_1 + \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ M^{AB} &= \frac{1}{2\omega_1\omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 + \omega_2 & \omega_1 - \omega_2 \\ \omega_1 - \omega_2 & \omega_1 + \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

and therefore the matrices K and H reduce to pure numbers

$$K = \frac{2\omega_1\omega_2}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \quad H = \frac{(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^2}{2(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} \quad (5.2)$$

Finally the eigenvalue λ (4.16) from which the mixed state entropy depends on is

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^2}{\omega_1\omega_2} \quad (5.3)$$

We can go on in generalizing this result to the case $(1, N - 1)$, i.e. in which one degree of freedom is observable and $N - 1$ are the inaccessible ones.

Let us take for example the case $N = 3$ with $(1, 2)$, we obtain in particular

$$\begin{aligned} q_k &= S_{kA} u_A & M_{AB} &= Re (S_{Ak}^\dagger \omega_k S_{kB}) \\ q_k^* &= u_A^T S_{Ak}^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where Re implies taking the real part and we compute the transformation matrices S_{kA} as

$$S_{kA} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha^2 & 1 \\ \alpha^2 & \alpha & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad S_{Ak}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \alpha & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \quad (5.5)$$

The specific form of M_{AB} is

$$M_{AB} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{ab} & M_{a\alpha} \\ \hline M_{\alpha b} & M_{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_2 \\ \hline \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \hline \rho_2 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 \end{array} \right] \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \frac{1}{3}(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) \\ \rho_2 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(-\frac{(\omega_1 + \omega_2)}{2} + \omega_3 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

The inverse matrix satisfies the following properties

$$M^{AB} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M^{ab} & M^{a\alpha} \\ \hline M^{\alpha b} & M^{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_2 \\ \hline \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \hline \delta_2 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{array} \right] \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 + 2\rho_2)} \\ \delta_2 &= -\frac{\rho_2}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 + 2\rho_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

In this case the matrix K is still a number

$$K = \frac{1}{\delta_1} \quad (5.10)$$

while the matrix H is a number given by

$$H = M_{a\alpha} \widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} M_{\beta b} = \frac{(\rho_2 \ \rho_2)}{\rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & -\rho_2 \\ -\rho_2 & \rho_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2\rho_2^2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \quad (5.11)$$

and the eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{H}{K}$ is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{2\rho_2^2}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 + 2\rho_2)} = \frac{4}{9} \frac{(\omega_3 - \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2})^2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2)\omega_3} \quad (5.12)$$

It is also easy to study the case $(2, 1)$:

$$M_{AB} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{ab} & M_{a\alpha} \\ \hline M_{\alpha b} & M_{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \hline \rho_2 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 \end{array} \right] \quad (5.13)$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are as in eq. (5.7).

Analogously the inverse matrix

$$M^{AB} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M^{ab} & M^{a\alpha} \\ \hline M^{\alpha b} & M^{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \hline \delta_2 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{array} \right] \quad (5.14)$$

In this case the matrix K_{ab} becomes

$$K_{ab} = \frac{1}{\delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & -\delta_2 \\ -\delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.15)$$

while the matrix H_{ab} is

$$H_{ab} = \frac{\rho_2^2}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.16)$$

from which the matrix to be diagonalized is

$$\Lambda_b^a = (K^{-1})^{ac} H_{cb} = \frac{\rho_2^2}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\rho_2^2}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.17)$$

Λ_b^a is a matrix whose determinant is equal to zero while the trace

$$Tr \Lambda_b^a = \delta_1 \rho_1 - 1 = 2 \frac{\rho_2^2}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 + 2\rho_2)} \quad (5.18)$$

from which its eigenvalues are recognizable as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \frac{4(\omega_3 - \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2})^2}{9(\omega_1 + \omega_2)\omega_3} \\ \lambda_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Therefore we can come to the conclusion that the cases $(1, N-1)$ and $(N-1, 1)$ are related by duality. At first sight in the case $(N-1, 1)$ it seems that we have to diagonalize a

matrix of dimension $(N-1)$, which is however singular since it contains $N-2$ null eigenvalues and only one that coincides with the case $(1, N-1)$.

More generally we can guess the existence of a duality between the cases $(k, N-k)$ and $(N-k, k)$, with the first case containing k independent contributions to the total entropy, while the second one contains the same eigenvalues plus extra null contributions until completing $N-k$ eigenvalues.

6 $N = 4$ case

Let us consider the case $N = 4$, that, apart from exhibiting the usual cases of the form $(1, N-1)$ or $(N-1, 1)$, introduces the new non trivial case $(2, 2)$.

Let us define the matrices M_{AB} and M^{AB} as

$$\begin{aligned} M_{AB} &= \text{Re}(S_{Ak}^\dagger A_{km} S_{mB}) \\ M^{AB} M_{BC} &= \delta_C^A \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where the diagonal matrix A_{km} has the following form

$$A_{km} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & & & \\ & \omega_2 & & \\ & & \omega_3 & \\ & & & \omega_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.2)$$

while the similitude matrix S_{kA} is given by

$$S_{kA} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & 1 \\ \alpha^2 & 1 & \alpha^2 & 1 \\ \alpha^3 & \alpha^2 & \alpha & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad S_{Ak}^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^3 & \alpha^2 & \alpha & 1 \\ \alpha^2 & 1 & \alpha^2 & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad S^\dagger S = 1 \quad \alpha^4 = 1 \quad (6.3)$$

Computing explicitly the matrix M_{AB} we obtain

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 \\ \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.4)$$

with the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4) \\
\rho_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(-\omega_2 + \omega_4) \\
\rho_3 &= \frac{1}{4}(-\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_4)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

while

$$M^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 \\ \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.6}$$

with the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\rho_1 + \rho_3}{(\rho_1 + \rho_3)^2 - 4\rho_2^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_1 - \rho_3} \right) \\
\delta_2 &= -\frac{\rho_2}{(\rho_1 + \rho_3)^2 - 4\rho_2^2} \\
\delta_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\rho_1 + \rho_3}{(\rho_1 + \rho_3)^2 - 4\rho_2^2} - \frac{1}{\rho_1 - \rho_3} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Let us firstly discuss the $(1, 3)$ case.

The matrix M_{AB} can be decomposed in terms of the following submatrices

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ab} & M_{a\alpha} \\ M_{\alpha b} & M_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 \\ \hline \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 \\ \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 \end{array} \right) \tag{6.8}$$

while the matrix M^{AB} is decomposed as

$$M^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M^{ab} & M^{a\alpha} \\ M^{\alpha b} & M^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 \\ \hline \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 \\ \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{array} \right) \tag{6.9}$$

Moreover $\widetilde{M}^{ab}$ is the inverse of $M_{ab} = \rho_1$ and $\widetilde{M}_{ab}$ is the inverse of $M^{ab} = \delta_1$:

$$\widetilde{M}^{ab} = \frac{1}{\rho_1} \quad \widetilde{M}_{ab} = \frac{1}{\delta_1} \quad (6.10)$$

Finally $\widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of $M_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{D} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2 & (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 & \rho_2^2 - \rho_1\rho_3 \\ (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 & \rho_1^2 - \rho_3^2 & (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 \\ \rho_2^2 - \rho_1\rho_3 & (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 & \rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.11)$$

with the determinant D defined by

$$D = \rho_1(\rho_1^2 - \rho_3^2) - 2\rho_2^2(\rho_1 - \rho_3) \quad (6.12)$$

With these data we can compute the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \widetilde{M}_{ab} = \frac{1}{\delta_1} \\ H &= M_{a\alpha} \widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} M_{\beta b} = \\ &= \frac{1}{D} [\rho_3^2(\rho_1^2 - \rho_3^2) + 2\rho_2^2(\rho_1^2 + 2\rho_3^2 - 3\rho_1\rho_3)] \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Since $D = \delta_1(\rho_1 - \rho_3)^2((\rho_1 + \rho_3)^2 - 4\rho_2^2)$ we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{\delta_1}{D} [\rho_3^2(\rho_1^2 - \rho_3^2) + 2\rho_2^2(\rho_1^2 + 2\rho_3^2 - 3\rho_1\rho_3)] = \\ &= \frac{(\rho_1 + \rho_3)\rho_3^2 + 2(\rho_1 - 2\rho_3)\rho_2^2}{(\rho_1 - \rho_3)((\rho_1 + \rho_3)^2 - 4\rho_2^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Let us notice here that in general in order to compute the eigenvalues of the matrix Λ_b^a we can substitute $M^{ac}M_{c\beta}\widetilde{M}^{\beta\alpha}$ with $-M^{a\alpha}$ and we can finally obtain

$$\Lambda_b^a = (K^{-1})^{ac} H_{cb} = -M^{a\alpha} M_{\alpha b} = \delta_1 \rho_1 - 1 \quad (6.15)$$

a formula already encountered in the case (1, 2).

Let us discuss the case (3, 1).

In this case the decomposition of the matrix M_{AB} is different:

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ab} & M_{a\alpha} \\ M_{\alpha b} & M_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 \\ \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \hline \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 \end{array} \right) \quad (6.16)$$

and analogously for the matrix M^{AB}

$$M^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M^{ab} & M^{a\alpha} \\ M^{\alpha b} & M^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 \\ \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \hline \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{array} \right) \quad (6.17)$$

The matrix $\widetilde{M}^{ab}$ is the inverse of M_{ab}

$$\widetilde{M}^{ab} = \frac{1}{D(\rho_i)} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2 & (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 & \rho_2^2 - \rho_1\rho_3 \\ (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 & \rho_1^2 - \rho_3^2 & (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 \\ \rho_2^2 - \rho_1\rho_3 & (\rho_3 - \rho_1)\rho_2 & \rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.18)$$

$\widetilde{M}_{ab}$ is the inverse of M^{ab}

$$\widetilde{M}_{ab} = \frac{1}{D(\delta_i)} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2 & (\delta_3 - \delta_1)\delta_2 & \delta_2^2 - \delta_1\delta_3 \\ (\delta_3 - \delta_1)\delta_2 & \delta_1^2 - \delta_3^2 & (\delta_3 - \delta_1)\delta_2 \\ \delta_2^2 - \delta_1\delta_3 & (\delta_3 - \delta_1)\delta_2 & \delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.19)$$

$\widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of $M_{\alpha\beta} = \rho_1$ e $\widetilde{M}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of $M^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_1$:

$$\widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\rho_1} \quad \widetilde{M}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\delta_1} \quad (6.20)$$

From these we can recover that $K_{ab} = \widetilde{M}_{ab}$ is the inverse of M^{ab} and therefore

$$K_{ab}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_{ab} = M_{a\alpha} \widetilde{M}^{\alpha\beta} M_{\beta b} = \frac{1}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_2 \\ \rho_3 \\ \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} (\rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_2) \quad (6.21)$$

Being a projector it has two null eigenvalues and only one $\neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{ab} &= (K^{-1})^{ac} H_{cb} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \rho_2 + \delta_2 \rho_3 + \delta_3 \rho_2 \\ 2\delta_2 \rho_2 + \delta_1 \rho_3 \\ \delta_1 \rho_2 + \delta_2 \rho_3 + \delta_3 \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} (\rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_2)\end{aligned}\tag{6.22}$$

Let us notice that there is also the following simplification, due to eq. (6.7)

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_1 + \delta_3) \rho_2 + \delta_2 \rho_3 &= -\delta_2 \rho_1 \\ 2\delta_2 \rho_2 + \delta_1 \rho_3 &= -\delta_3 \rho_1\end{aligned}\tag{6.23}$$

and therefore we obtain for the matrix Λ_{ab}

$$\Lambda_{ab} = - \begin{pmatrix} \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} (\rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_2)\tag{6.24}$$

Being of this form, all the matrix information is enclosed in its trace

$$Tr \Lambda_{ab} = -(2\delta_2 \rho_2 + \delta_3 \rho_3) = \delta_1 \rho_1 - 1 = \frac{(\rho_1 + \rho_3) \rho_3^2 + 2(\rho_1 - 2\rho_3) \rho_2^2}{(\rho_1 - \rho_3)((\rho_1 + \rho_3)^2 - 4\rho_2^2)}\tag{6.25}$$

Being proportional to ρ_2^2 and ρ_3^2 the trace becomes null when all the frequencies are equal between them. Λ_{ab} is a matrix with three eigenvalues, of which two null and one equal to the case (1, 3).

In general the cases (1, $N - 1$) and ($N - 1$, 1) are solved by the eigenvalue

$$\lambda = \delta_1 \rho_1 - 1\tag{6.26}$$

where $\rho_1 = \frac{1}{N}(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_N)$ is the first entry of the matrix M_{AB} and δ_1 is the first entry of the inverse matrix M^{AB} .

Finally we discuss the case (2, 2).

In this case the matrix M_{AB} is decomposed in the following submatrices

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{ab} & M_{a\alpha} \\ M_{\alpha b} & M_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 \\ \hline \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_2 & \rho_1 \end{array} \right) \quad (6.27)$$

while the matrix M^{AB} is decomposed as

$$M^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M^{ab} & M^{a\alpha} \\ M^{\alpha b} & M^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 \\ \hline \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{array} \right) \quad (6.28)$$

The entropy calculation is in this case solved if we know the eigenvalues of the following matrix

$$\Lambda_b^a = -M^{a\alpha} M_{\alpha b} = - \begin{pmatrix} \delta_3 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_3 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.29)$$

The main reason why the eigenvalues of such a matrix Λ_b^a are real is because it can be rewritten as the product of two symmetric matrices of which at least one with all positive eigenvalues. This property assures that the two matrices can be simultaneously diagonalized.

Let us notice that the matrix $M_{\alpha b}$ can be diagonalized with the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_3 & \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 & \rho_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_3 + \rho_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_3 - \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.30)$$

where $a = b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, from which

$$M^{a\alpha} M_{\alpha b} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_3 + \delta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_3 - \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_3 + \rho_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_3 - \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.31)$$

In this particular case the two matrices are simultaneously diagonalized by the same invertible matrix and we can directly compute the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{\pm} = -(\delta_3 \pm \delta_2)(\rho_3 \pm \rho_2) = \frac{(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2)}{(\rho_1 \pm \rho_2)}(\rho_3 \pm \rho_2)^2 \quad (6.32)$$

Therefore $\lambda_{\pm}$ are real eigenvalues and the resulting entropy is real and positive.

7 Continuum limit

Until now we have limited ourself to the study of the entanglement of a scalar field reduced to a lattice of N point where the total entropy of the system is always finite. The continuum limit can be worked out by sending the volume to infinity and keeping the lattice spacing a finite, as in [18]. In that case the entropy receives contributions from an integral operator admitting a spectrum of infinite eigenvalues accumulating around zero. The exact calculation goes beyond our possibilities and we are obliged to confirm the observations made in [18]-[19], i.e. that it is possible to single out a finite surface entropy (proportional to the boundary area). In particular in [18]-[19] it is shown how to factor out in four dimensions an entropy per unit area:

$$S/A = Ca^{-2} \tag{7.1}$$

where C is some constant and a is the momentum cutoff or lattice spacing. The proportionality constant C has the right order of magnitude if a is of the order of the Planck length l_p . Although in general the system is not exactly solvable, it is possible to make some numerical estimate on the upper bound of this surface entropy.

8 Discussion

The entanglement method has been revealed effective to confirm the results obtained with the brick wall method. In this work we have firstly noticed a greater resemblance between the two methods clarifying that the inaccessible quantum degrees of freedom contributing to black hole entropy are situated inside the brick wall and not in the interior of black hole. We have pointed out that in two dimensions there is a coordinate transformation flattening the background metric and in the massless limit the quantization of the scalar field in the gravitational background can be reduced to the free field case.

Then we have quantized the system reduced to a finite number N of degrees of freedom and computed in several examples their contribution to entanglement entropy finding some general result that may be useful in the large N limit. In these cases our model is an explicit application of the general method outlined in [18].

The ambitious goal would be to solve exactly the spectrum of the integral operator generalizing our results in the large N limit and to check if there is a contribution to entropy proportional to the area of the spatial division, but the technical difficulties are such that we are obliged to conclude our study here, postponing the large N exact solution to a future

research.

References

- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. **D7**, 2333 (1973).
- [2] S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).
- [3] S. Mukohyama, Ph.D Thesis, gr-qc/9812079.
- [4] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. **B379**, 99 (1996).
- [5] J. M. Maldacena, Ph.D Thesis, hep-th/9607235.
- [6] M. Li and E. Martinec, Class. Quantum Grav. **14**, 3187 (1997); **14**, 3205 (1997).
- [7] T. Banks, W. Fischler, I. R. Klebanov and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 226 (1998); JHEP **01**, 008 (1998).
- [8] C. Rovelli, Living Rev.Rel.1:1,1998 , gr-qc/9710008.
- [9] A. Ashtekar and K. Krasnov, gr-qc/9804039.
- [10] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B256**, 727 (1985).
- [11] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. **D13**, 2188 (1976).
- [12] D. G. Boulware, Phys. Rev **D11**, 1404 (1975).
- [13] F. Belgiorno and S. Liberati, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 3172 (1996).
- [14] F. Belgiorno and M. Martellini, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 7073 (1996).
- [15] L. Susskind and J. Uglum, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 2700 (1994).
- [16] S. Mukohyama and W. Israel, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 104005 (1998).
- [17] G. Milanesi and M. Mintchev, hep-th/0509080.
- [18] L. Bombelli, R. K. Koul, J. Lee and R. D. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. **D34**, 373 (1986).
- [19] M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 666 (1993).
- [20] V. Frolov and I. Novikov, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 4545 (1993).